

5th International Conference of EWRA "Water resources management in the era of transition" Athens, 4-8 September 2002


Integrating Groundwater Models within a Decision Support System


Nalbantis, I., E. Rozos, G. Tentes, A. Efstratiadis, and D. Koutsoyiannis


National Technical University of Athens
Dept. of Water Resources, Hydraulic & Maritime Engineering
5 Heroon Polytechneiou, 15700 Zographou, Greece











Pre-existing MODFLOW model: Adaptation to operational context

- 500×500 m² grid
 500 m² grid
 5
- Modeling boundary conditions
- Using only MODFLOW.EXE
- Writing operational package
- Further needs: surface hydrology model + water management model (operation rules)

 Performance rather poor
 - High effort to use MODFLOW.EXE


High computing time

Nalbantis et al., Integrating groundwater models within a DSS

7

Approach A: Lumped conceptual model (1) Precipitation


Evapotranspiration + withdrawals for irrigation & water supply (according to heuristic operation rules)



Nalbantis et al., Integrating groundwater models within a DSS


Approach A: Lumped conceptual model (2)


- Small number of parameters (5)
- Calibration on the 5-year discharge data at the basin outlet (hydrological years 1984-85 to 1988-89)
- Validation on the 5-year discharge data at the basin outlet (hydrological years 1989-90 to 1994-95)




Nalbantis et al., Integrating groundwater models within a DSS

9









Approach B: Semi-distributed model (4)


- Large number of control variables (18 model parameters + 6 initial conditions)
- Calibration on the 5-year discharge data at the basin outlet (hydrological years 1984-85 to 1988-89)
- Validation on the 5-year discharge data at the basin outlet (hydrological years 1989-90 to 1994-95)



Nalbantis et al., Integrating groundwater models within a DSS

13







Nalbantis et al., Integrating groundwater models within a DSS

Basin mean annual water balance (through the multi-cell model)

Component	hm ³	(%)
Precipitation	1835	
Evapotraspiration	1128	61
Surface runoff	123	7
Infiltration	584	32
Losses to sea	165	28
Spring runoff	183	31
Groundwater		
abstractions	236	40
Total runoff	306	
Runoff at the outlet	212	69
Surface water		
abstractions	94	31
Water demand for		
irrigation and supply	330	
Surface water		
abstractions	94	28
Groundwater		
abstractions	236	72





Concluding remarks

- The ability of our DSS to manage water resource was enhanced through integrating hydrologic models into it
- Three models were tested: a multi-cell model, a lumped model and MODFLOW
- Prediction accuracy for the multi-cell model and the lumped model was similar both in calibration and validation
- One five-year simulation (with a monthly time step) lasts 1.5×10⁻⁶ s, 0.5 s and 5 min for the lumped, the multi-cell and the MODFLOW model respectively (for PC Pentium III at 600 MHz)
- In the optimisation phase, HYDRONOMEAS can afford only the lumped model, while for a single simulation cycle the multi-cell model is proposed
- Distributed models, although useful for better spatial information treatment, remain ineffective