

The Athens water resource system: A modern management perspective

Demetris Koutsoyiannis


Department of Civil Engineering,
National Technical University of Athens

A seminar given at the Imperial College,
Environmental and Water Resources Engineering Section

London, 2 December 1999

“Υσον, ὕσον Ζεῦ κατὰ τῆς ἀρούρης τῶν Ἀθηναίων

Do rain, do rain Zeus against the earth of Athenians (Ancient Greek prayer)



Parts of the presentation

1. The Athens water resource system

- History
- Components
- Technical characteristics

2. The project: *Modernisation of the supervision and management of the water resource system of Athens*


- Objectives
- Components

3. The methodology

- General aspects
- Specific problems


D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 3

Evolution of water consumption – Milestones








D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 4





The hydrosystem: Main components and evolution



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 5


Classification of water resources

Basin	SURFACE WATER		GROUNDWATER
	Primary (Reservoirs)	Secondary (Reservoirs)	Backup (Boreholes)
Evinos 350 km ²	Evinos 322 hm ³ /y 		
Mornos 557 km ²	Mornos 319 hm ³ /y		
Boeotikos Kifisos – Yliki 2400 km ²		Yliki 353 hm ³ /y  	B. Kifisos, middle course 136 hm ³ /y Yliki region 85 hm ³ /y 
Haradros 120 km ²		Marathon 10 hm ³ /y	
North Parnetha			Viliza 26 hm ³ /y  Mavrosouvala 36 hm ³ /y

Area	Inflow	Pumping capacity 	High spill 	High leakage 	Pumping 
------	--------	--	--	--	---

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 6

Hydrosystem: Current structure



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 7

The project: *Modernisation of the supervision and management of the water resource system of Athens*

- ◆ Objectives:
 - Supervision
 - Measurement
 - Mathematical modelling and simulation
 - Optimisation
 of the Athens water resource system
- ◆ Commissioned by the Athens Water Supply and Sewerage Company (ΕΥΔΑΠ) to the National Technical University of Athens
- ◆ Part of the ΕΥΔΑΠ Water Supply Master Plan
- ◆ Approved and funded by the EU in the framework of the 2nd Cohesion Fund
- ◆ Commenced in June 1999; duration five years in two phases

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 8

Unit 5: Cooperation and transfer of knowledge between NTUA and EYDAP

- ◆ Setting up of collaboration between NTUA and EYDAP
- ◆ Linking/sharing of computational resources
- ◆ Seminars and workshops
- ◆ Training programmes
- ◆ Participation of EYDAP personnel in research work

Unit 4: Development of a decision support system for the integrated management of the system

- ◆ Final product
 - Software system in operational use (Core of the project)
- ◆ Theoretical basis
 - Parameterisation – Simulation – Optimisation
- ◆ Specific characteristics
 - Faithful representation of the real hydrosystem
 - Use of either historical or stochastic data series
 - Determination of optimal system operation
 - Coping with multiple objectives / conflicting uses
 - Wide range of results (water quantities, energy quantities, economical quantities)
 - Evaluation of results in probabilistic terms

Unit 3: Development of a computational system for the estimation and prediction of water resources

◆ Final products

- Software system for the stochastic simulation/forecasting of the reservoir inflows (linked to the Decision Support System)
- Groundwater model for the Beotikos Kifisos – Yliki basin (linked to the Decision Support System)
- Approximate estimation of the groundwater potential of the remaining backup water resources

◆ Theoretical basis and characteristics

- Stochastic model: Generalised mathematical framework of stochastic hydrology + disaggregation models
- Groundwater model: Distributed model with a coarse (rough) horizontal and vertical grid
- Specific provision for climatic trends and droughts

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 11

Unit 2: Development of the water resources telemetric measurement system

◆ Final product

- Study, installation and operation of an automated telemetric system for measuring hydrological and meteorological variables in the study area

◆ Objectives

- Updating of hydrologic and system simulation models with current input data
- Monitoring of the water resources

◆ System components

- Telemetric meteorological stations (4)
- Telemetric river staff gauges (4)
- Telemetric reservoir level gauges (4)
- Conventional river discharge measurement gauges (4)
- Sub-system for data acquisition, transmission, and processing
- Connection with measuring devices of aquifers


D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 12

Unit 1: Development of a Geographical Information System for the hydrosystem visualisation and supervision

- ◆ Final product
 - Geographical Database with the necessary data and the appropriate software applications, in operational use
- ◆ Objectives
 - Storage and retrieval of information of the hydrosystem structure and operation
 - Archiving of hydrologic data series, historical, real time and synthetic
 - Visualisation and supervision of the hydrosystem
- ◆ System components
 - Static information subsystem (Geographical locations and characteristics of natural and technical components of the hydrosystem)
 - Dynamic information subsystem (time series, event recordings)
 - Processing and visualisation subsystem (maps, diagrams, tables)

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 13

Interconnection of modules



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 14

Typical problems to be answered

- ◆ Find the **maximum possible annual release** from the system:
 - for a certain (acceptable) **reliability level** (steady state conditions)
 - for a certain **combination of the system components** (e.g. primary resources)and determine the corresponding:
 - **optimal operation policy** (storage allocation; conveyance allocation; pumping operation)
 - **cost** (in terms of energy; economy; other impacts)
- ◆ Find the **minimum total cost**
 - for a given **water demand** (less than the maximum possible annual release)
 - for a certain (acceptable) **reliability level**and determine the corresponding:
 - **combination of the system components** to be enabled
 - **optimal operation policy** (storage allocation; conveyance allocation; pumping operation)
 - **alternative operation policies** (that can satisfy the demand but with higher cost)

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 15

Categories of problems

- ◆ **Steady state problems for the current hydrosystem**
 - (e.g., previous slide)
- ◆ **Problems involving time**
 - Availability of water resources in the months to come
 - Impact of a management practice to the future availability of water resources
 - Evolution of the operation policy for a temporally varying demand
- ◆ **Investigation of scenarios**
 - Hydrosystem structure: Impacts of new components (aqueducts, pumping stations etc.)
 - Demand: Feasibility of expansion of domain
 - Hydrological inputs: Climate change/Persisting drought
- ◆ **Adequacy/safety under exceptional events – Required measures**
 - Damages
 - Special demand occasions (e.g. 2004 Olympic Games)

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 16

The methodology: General aspects

Question 1: Simulation **or** optimisation?

- Simulation **versus** optimisation (water resources literature)
- Simulation methods **for** optimisation (more mathematical literature)

Answer: Optimisation coupled with simulation

Main advantages

- Determination of optimal policies
- Incorporation of mathematical optimisation techniques

Main advantages


- Detailed and faithful system representation
- Better understanding of the system operation
- Incorporation of stochastic models

Question 2: Which are the control (decision) variables?

- Typically: Releases from system components in each time step

Answer: Introduction of **parametric control rules** with few **parameters** as control variables

Parameterisation Simulation Optimisation



Stochastic simulation/forecasting of hydrologic processes

◆ Question: Why simulated series?


◆ Answer

- Analytical solutions do not exist or would assume extreme oversimplification of the system
- Detailed inflow and other (rainfall, evaporation) hydrological data are needed at many sites simultaneously and at several time scales for the system simulation
- Historical hydrological records are too short
- The acceptable failure probability level for Athens is of the order of 10^{-2} : one failure in 100 years on the average
- For a reasonable estimation error in the failure probability we need 1000-10 000 years of data

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 19

Requirements for stochastic simulation

1. Multivariate model
2. Time scales from annual to monthly or sub-monthly
3. Preservation of essential marginal statistics up to third order (skewness)
4. Preservation of joint second order statistics (auto- and cross-correlations)
5. Capturing/reproduction of “patterns” observed in the last severe draught – Preservation of long-term persistence



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 20

Specification of the *Kastalia* stochastic simulation software (under development)

- ◆ **Module 1: Annual stochastic model**
 - Preserves marginal statistics up to third order (skewness)
 - Preserves autocorrelation structure of any type (not necessarily ARMA)
 - Multivariate model – preserves cross-correlations
 - Preserves long-term persistence (Hurst coefficients of all locations)
 - Can perform in forecast mode, given the current and historical values
- ◆ **Module 2: Monthly/sub-monthly stochastic model**
 - Disaggregates annual series
 - Uses multivariate PAR type (seasonal) schemes as underlying models
 - Uses exact adjusting procedures to produce monthly values consistent with the annual whereas not affecting preservation of statistics
 - Preserves marginal statistics up to third order (skewness)
 - Preserves auto- and cross-correlations
 - Can perform in forecast mode, given the current and historical values
 - On the way: Sub-monthly disaggregation; Treatment of any type of autocorrelation structure

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 21

Introduction to the parametric reservoir operation rule – Some analytical solutions

Maximise release from a simple reservoir system with single water use

- ◆ **Case a: no conveyance restrictions; no leakages**

- Solution: Probability of spill equal at all reservoirs (**New York Rule**; Clark, 1950)
- Under certain (rather common) conditions about the distribution of inflows:

Space rule
(Bower et al., 1962)

$$\frac{K_i - S_i}{E[CQ_i]} = \frac{\sum K - V}{\sum E[CQ]}$$

- ◆ **Case b: no conveyance restrictions; significant leakages; insignificant spills**

- Solution:

Leakage rule (Nalbantis & Koutsoyiannis, 1996)

$$S_i = \begin{cases} V & \text{for one reservoir} \\ 0 & \text{for all others} \end{cases}$$

- ◆ **Case c: restricted conveyance capacity; insignificant spills; no leakages**

- Solution:

Conveyance rule (Nalbantis & Koutsoyiannis, 1996)

$$\frac{S_i}{C_i} = \frac{V}{\sum C}$$

Notation: i = Reservoir index, K = Storage capacity, S = Storage, $V = \sum S$, CQ = Cumulative inflow, $E[]$ = expectation, C = Conveyance capacity

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 22

Formulation of the parametric reservoir operation rule

Initial linear parametric form

$$S_i^* = a_i + b_i V - \text{parameters } a_i, b_i$$


subject to $\sum a_i = 0, \sum b_i = 1,$
 since $\sum S_i^* = V$

Corrected for physical constraints

$$S_i^{**} = \begin{cases} 0 & a_i + b_i V < 0 \\ a_i + b_i V & 0 \leq a_i + b_i V \leq K_i \\ K_i & a_i + b_i V > K_i \end{cases}$$

Adjusted, nonlinear form

$$S_i^{***} = S_i^{**} + \frac{S_i^{**}(1 - S_i^{**}/K_i)}{\sum S_j^{**}(1 - S_j^{**}/K_j)} (V - \sum S_j^{**})$$



Two parameters per reservoir (a_i, b_i) = **Control variables**

Parameter values **determined by optimisation** – depending on the objective function
 Parameters may depend also on season (e.g., refilling-emptying period, or months)
2 × (reservoirs – 1) × seasons total parameters for the reservoir system

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 23

A comparison with a conventional optimisation method

Problem: Find the maximum release that can be ensured by a system of **3 reservoirs** with **reliability 99%** (probability of failure 1%). Use **1000 years** of simulated data with **monthly time step**. Assume **steady state** conditions.

Non-parametric optimisation

Number of control variables:
 1000 × 12 monthly releases
 × (3 – 1) reservoirs + 1 (problem target)
 = 24001

Cannot be combined with simulation
 All physical constraints of the system must be entered as problem constraints

Control variables depend on inflow series
 Implicit assumption of known inflows (infinite forecast lead time, 100% accuracy)

The optimisation model needs continuous runs with updated data

Parametric rule based optimisation

Number of control variables:
 2 parameters/reservoir/ season
 × (3 – 1) reservoirs × 2 seasons
 + 1 (problem target)
 = 9 (as an order of magnitude)


Can be combined with simulation
 Physical constraints of the system are handled by the simulation model

Control variables do not depend on inflow series but on their statistical properties
 No assumption of known inflows

Once parameters are optimised, the system can be operated without running the model

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 24

Application of the parametric rule – Optimal results



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 25

Justification, verification, and extensions of the parametric rule

- ◆ Is parametric rule underparametrised?
 - Nonlinear expressions with three parameters per reservoir did not outperform
 - Homogeneous linear expressions (one parameter per reservoir, $a_i = 0$) result in almost same optimal solutions
 - Considering seasonality (2 seasons) seems to improve results (slightly)
- ◆ How results of parametric rule based optimisation compare to those of nonparametric optimisation methods?
 - Investigation is under way using
 - * Full nonparametric optimisation models (based on evolutionary algorithms) on simple systems with a few years time horizon
 - * Existing control models on real systems
- ◆ Is the parameterisation appropriate for all water uses?
 - Yes, but extensions may be needed for non-consumptive water uses
 - Successful application to hydropower systems (one more parameter per hydropower station)


D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 26

Considering the complete hydrosystem – Simulation

- ◆ Assuming that parameters a_i and b_i are known, the **target releases** from each reservoir will be also known in the beginning of each simulation time step
- ◆ The **actual releases** depend on several attributes of the hydrosystem (physical constraints)
- ◆ Their estimation is done using **simulation**
- ◆ Within simulation, an **internal optimisation** procedure may be necessary (typically linear, nonparametric)
- ◆ Because parameters a_i and b_i are not known, but rather are to be optimised, simulation is driven by an **external optimisation** procedure (nonlinear)


D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 27

Hydrosystem components and attributes



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 28

Conveyance problem formulation



Given:

- Demands (D)
- Reservoir storages (S),
- Reservoir target releases ($R \leq S$; $\sum R = \sum D$; from parametric rule)


Required:

- Actual (feasible) consumptions (at consumption points)
- Actual (feasible) releases (from reservoirs)
- Aqueduct discharges
- Conveyance cost


Conditions:

- If possible, no deficits at consumption points
- If possible, releases from reservoirs equal to target releases
- Minimum conveyance cost

Transformations of hydrosystem components to graph components




Hydrosystem and its transformation to *digraph*



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 31

Digraph solution by linear programming



Determine all unknown discharges Q_{ij} at edges ij , by **minimising total cost**

$$TC = \sum_{ij} u_{ij} Q_{ij}$$

subject to equality constraints for each node i

$$\sum_j Q_{ij} - \sum_j Q_{ji} = 0$$

and to **inequality constraints** for each edge ij

$$1 - Q_{ij} / C_{ij} \geq 0$$

or, for conjugate edges,

$$1 - Q_{ij} / C_{ij} - Q_{ji} / C_{ji} \geq 0$$

and, simultaneously, for each edge ij

$$Q_{ij} \geq 0$$

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 32

Concluding remarks

1. The Athens water resource system seems to be sufficient for the visible future unless major changes occur in
 - the climate, or
 - the demography.
2. The ongoing project is expected to
 - provide better insights of the system and its components' interactions,
 - improve its operation and management, and
 - assist the handling of future crisis situations.More have do be done about
 - water demand management, and
 - more detailed studies of groundwater resources.
3. The methodologies being developed are focusing on
 - the parameterisation-simulation-optimisation approach, and
 - the stochastic modelling of hydrological processes.Their evaluation includes
 - preliminary tests with encouraging results so far, and
 - final (future) operational tests in the demanding water resource system of Athens.



Early stage



← The Adrian aqueduct

Supplementary water collection and distribution in Athens (early 20th century until 1930s) →




D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 35

Marathon dam



Construction of dam, 1928



Today

Construction of spillway, 1928



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 36

Marathon dam (2)



Devastating flood, 1926



Inauguration of Boyati tunnel, 1928



Marathon spillway in action, 1941

D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 37

Yliki lake and pumping stations



Yliki lake



Yliki, floating pumping stations



Yliki, main pumping station



Kiourka pumping station



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 38

Mornos reservoir and aqueduct



Mornos canal at Delphi



Mornos reservoir

Mornos canal at Thebes plain

Siphon at Distomo



Control of Mornos aqueduct



Canal flow control construction



Aqueduct supervising & control centre



Evinos dam and tunnel



Evinos dam during construction

Construction of the Evinos-Mornos connection tunnel



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 41

Treatment plants




Perissos water treatment plant

Aspropyrgos water treatment plant




D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 42

The Mornos dam and reservoir



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 43

The Evinos works at their final phase of construction – July 1999



D. Koutsoyiannis, The Athens water resource system 44