

Session HS7.4 Future hydroclimatic scenarios in a changing world

EGU25-5135

Climate data and machine learning integration for evaluating flood insurance risk patterns

^{1*}Konstantinos-Christofer Tsolakidis, ¹Konstantinos Papoulakos, ¹Theano Iliopoulou, ¹Nikolaos Tepetidis ¹Panayiotis Dimitriadis, ²Dimosthenis Tsaknias and ¹Demetris Koutsoyiannis

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu25-5135



¹Department of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering, School of Civil Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Heroon Polytechneiou 5, GR-157 80 Zografou, Greece

- ² Independent researcher, Greece
- * Corresponding author. E-mail address: <u>kctsola@gmail.com</u>



Highlights



Flood events, exacerbated by **climate variability**, pose significant challenges to flood risk management and the insurance industry in the United States.

To enhance flood risk modeling strategies, this study explores **spatial correlations** between different areas and applies **machine learning** to predict regions prone to high flood insurance claims by integrating hydrological, meteorological, and socio-economic data.

We combine the **FEMA NFIP Redacted Claims dataset**, detailing over 2.5 million flood-related insurance claims, with the US-CAMELS streamflow dataset, offering rich hydrological insights across numerous catchments in the USA (Papoulakos, 2025).

A key focus is the influence of climate indices, such as the **El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO)**, on flood patterns. Using the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) as a quantitative metric, we explore the spatiotemporal relationship between ENSO phases, streamflow variability, and flood insurance claims.

Keywords: Flood insurance claims, Streamflow extremes, Climate vriability, Machine Learning, FEMA

Influence of ENSO on Flood Insurance Claims:

Insights from National and California Perspectives

The Pearson correlation analysis between the maximum annual ENSO index and insurance claims by state reveals **spatial variability**.

In California, the correlation coefficients indicate a **moderate positive relationship** — meaning that stronger El Niño events tend to be associated with higher numbers of insurance claims.

This finding is **consistent with previous studies**, such as Hoell et al. (2015), which reported that "the strongest El Niño events greatly increase the likelihood for above-average precipitation across the state," leading to elevated flood risks.





Fig. 1 Pearson Correlation Between Maximum Annual ENSO Index and FEMA's Aggregated Annual Insurance Claims by State.

Influence of ENSO on Flood Insurance Claims:

Insights from National and California Perspectives

The Pearson correlation analysis between the maximum annual ENSO index and insurance claims by County in California state reveals **spatial variability**.

These higher correlations suggest a notable relationship between strong **El Niño events** and increased **insurance claims** due to flooding.

In Trinity County, California, we observe a significant **negative correlation** (represented in red on the map), supported by previous studies (National Research Council , 1997), which indicates that in the Trinity and Klamath basins, peak flows are largely influenced by **snowmelt** rather than just **rainfall.**





Fig. 2 Pearson Correlation Between Maximum Annual ENSO Index and FEMA's Aggregated Annual Insurance Claims by County (California).

Influence of ENSO on Flood Insurance Claims:

Insights from National and California Perspectives

As shown in the chart, the interplay between insurance claims and the Maximum ENSO Index reveals a **notable trend**, particularly during El Niño years.

These years often bring intense **storms**, heavierthan-normal **rainfall**, and in some cases, severe **flooding**, which can strain local infrastructure and lead to significant **damage claims**.

This **aligns** with findings from Pielke and Landsea (1999), who noted that El Niño events can have a significant impact on **weather patterns**, including increased hurricane activity and associated damage.







Input features for Machine Learning model

Spatial Distribution of Transportation and Hydrographic Networks





Fig. 4 Spatial distribution of Transportation networks in CA.

Fig. 5 Spatial distribution of Hydrographic networks in CA.

Machine Learning Workflow for Predicting Flood Claims



state	countyCode	countyName	yearOfLoss	Num_Claims	Total_PolicyCount	Mean_Claims	hydro_density	transport_density	ENSO_avg
						Per_Policy	(km/km²)	(km/km²)	(index)
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1978	63	63	1.0	2,597	25,521	-0,369
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1979	157	157	1.0	2,597	25,521	-0,022
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1980	206	206	1.0	2,597	25,521	-0,002
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1981	24	24	1.0	2,597	25,521	-0,456
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1982	18	18	1.0	2,597	25,521	0,832
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1983	31	31	1.0	2,597	25,521	0,307
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1984	1073	1073	1.0	2,597	25,521	-0,664
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1985	935	935	1.0	2,597	25,521	-0,755
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	1986	19	19	1.0	2,597	25,521	0,060

 Table 1 Input Data for Machine Learning Model.

A
 Machine Learning Model



stata	countyCodo	countyNamo	voarOflass	Prediction
State	countycoue	countyname	yearoiloss	(Num_Claims)
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	2026	33
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	2027	97
NJ	34001.0	Atlantic	2028	85

Table 2 Output Data from Machine Learning Model.

Conclusions



Integrating **climate data** with **machine learning** provides a powerful framework to uncover complex spatiotemporal patterns of flood risk and insurance claims.

Stronger El Niño events are moderately linked to increased flood insurance claims in California, reflecting **spatial variability** in climate impacts and confirming established precipitation-flood risk patterns.

El Niño years tend to coincide with increased insurance claims, suggesting that **ENSO** may influence **storm intensity** and **flood-related impacts**.

The combined use of **physical** and **socio-economic** information enhances our ability to predict, understand, and manage flood-related impacts.

Such approaches can support more **resilient** and equitable insurance systems and contribute to effective **climate adaptation** strategies in vulnerable communities.

References



Hoell, A., M. Hoerling, J. Eischeid, K. Wolter, R. Dole, J. Perlwitz, T. Xu, and L. Cheng (2016), Does El Niño intensity matter for California precipitation?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 819–825, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067102</u>.

National Research Council (1997), Watershed Research in the U.S. Geological Survey. National Academies Press, <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/5589</u>.

Papoulakos, K., T. Iliopoulou, P. Dimitriadis, D. Tsaknias, and D. Koutsoyiannis (2025), Spatiotemporal clustering of streamflow extremes and relevance to flood insurance claims: a stochastic investigation for the contiguous USA. Nat Hazards 121, 447–484, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-024-06766-z</u>

Pielke, R.A. and C.N. Landsea (1999), La Niña, El Niño and Atlantic Hurricane Damages in the United States. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 80, 2027-2033, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477</u>.